



JAMES R. YEE HOWARD & HOWARD 39400 WOODWARD AV #101 BLOOMFTELD HILLS MI 48304-5151

COPY MAILED

MAY 2 8 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Daniel Henry Decaux Application No. 09/937,583

ON PETITION

Filed: 18 January, 2002 Attorney Docket No. 68142-015

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), 1 filed on 13 April, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 27 November, 2003, for failure to file a proper response to the final Office action mailed on 26 August, 2003, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. No extensions of the time for reply

[&]quot;Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1,137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1,137(b). Brantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1,137(b) mag be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandomes for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be must be filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or affect when 8, 1993, and abandomed for failure to present; the required reply may plan be met by the filing of a request for continuing reply may be made to the reply may be a reply and the reply may be a reply and the payment of the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any containing balance thereof. In an application abandoms for failure to pay the spublication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required rogly from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition puscuant to 37 CER 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. An amendment after final rejection was filed on 24 October, 2003. However, the examiner determined that the amendment did not prima facie place the case in condition for allowance, and an Advisory Action was mailed on 8 March, 2004. The filing of the present petition precedes the mailing of Notice of Abandonment.

Petitioner has filed a Notice of Appeal as the required reply.

The Notice of Appeal filed 13 April, 2004, has been entered and made of record. Accordingly, the two (2)-month period for filing the Appeal Brief, in triplicate, accompanied by the fee required by law, runs from the date of this decision.

Receipt of an Appeal Brief filed with the present petition is acknowledged.

The application will be forwarded to Technology Center 1700 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney Office of Petitions